**Bachelor‘s/Master‘s Thesis Evaluation Form – Reviewer**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Author |  | Registr. number: |
| Title |  |
| University/Department | UP FEIT Department of Civil Engineering |
| Academic Year |  |

Evaluation scale for sub-criteria (corresponding to the ECTS scale)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Description | ECTS scale |
| **5 = VERY GOOD** : Criteria fulfilled in an above average manner, butwith small errors. | 5 |
| **4 = GOOD** : Average; however, contains distinct errors | 4 |
| **3 = SATISFACTORY** : Criteria reasonably satisfied, but with significantdeficiencies | 3 |
| **2= PASS** : Criteria fulfilled with serious deficiencies; justabove the minimum | 2 |
| **1 = FAIL** : Criteria not fulfilled even at the most basic level required | 1 |

Critical parts of the work

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **1.** | **Definition of the aims and the objectives of the work, its adequacy in view of the complexity of the work required** | **Points (0-20):** cannot be less than 10 |
| Comments:  |
| **2.** | **Evaluate the thesis by the depth and the adequacy of structural analysis**. | **Points (0-20):** cannot be less than 10 |
| Comments:  |
| **3.** | **Evaluate the thesis by the linguistics of the text and the elaboration of the attached drawings.** | **Points (0-20):** cannot be less than 10 |
| Comments:  |

Overall evaluation

The overall evaluation is the sum of the points from the partial criteria. The student may earn 0-60 points. The following summary displays the points that the student must earn for each level of assessment on the ECTS scale. **The overall evaluation may be revised if the student receives less tahn 10 points for any of three criteria.** In this case, the thesis cannot be recommended for defense.

Overall grade scale (in accordance with ECTS)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ECTSgrade | Points | Description |
| 5 | 54-60 | **VERY GOOD**: ***very good work.*** Fulfilled in an above average manner, but with small errors on some points. |
| 4 | 47-53 | **GOOD**: ***good work***. Average, however contains distinct errors in form or content. |
| 3 | 39-46 | **SATISFACTORY**: ***satisfactory work***. Reasonably fulfilled, but with significant deficiencies which influence the overall quality of the work. |
| 2 | 31-38 | **PASS**: ***acceptable work***. Fulfilled with serious deficiencies, just above the minimum, which fundamentally influences the overall quality of the work. |
| 1 | 30 or less | **FAIL**: ***unacceptable work***. Not fulfilled due to a great number of fundamental deficiencies. Not corresponding to the level of a bachelor thesis. Work is not recommended for defense. More work required before being resubmitted fordefense. |

Other comments and overall assessment of the thesis by the reviewer, including assessment of the student’s approach to writing the thesis:

Suggested questions:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Suggested grade** | **5** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** |

Final opinion: recommend / do not recommend for defense:

This bachelor/master thesis I can recommend for defense

Date:

Name and signature of reviewer: